

# United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.usplo.gov

| APPLICATION NO.                       | FILING DATE      |            | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.   | CONFIRMATION NO. |  |
|---------------------------------------|------------------|------------|----------------------|-----------------------|------------------|--|
| 10/017,654                            | 7,654 12/12/2001 |            | Akseli Anttila       | NC28554;BW04770.00031 | 7848             |  |
| 22907                                 | 7590             | 10/25/2006 |                      | EXAMINER              |                  |  |
| BANNER & WITCOFF<br>1001 G STREET N W |                  |            |                      | DIVECHA, KAMAL B      |                  |  |
| SUITE 1100                            |                  |            |                      | ART UNIT              | PAPER NUMBER     |  |
| WASHINGTON, DC 20001                  |                  |            |                      | 2151                  |                  |  |

DATE MAILED: 10/25/2006

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

# Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

| Application No.  | Applicant(s)   | Applicant(s)   |  |  |
|------------------|----------------|----------------|--|--|
| 10/017,654       | ANTTILA ET AL. | ANTTILA ET AL. |  |  |
| Examiner         | Art Unit       |                |  |  |
| KAMAL B. DIVECHA | 2151           |                |  |  |

--The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --THE REPLY FILED 18 October 2006 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR ALLOWANCE. 1. X The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on the same day as filing a Notice of Appeal. To avoid abandonment of this application, applicant must timely file one of the following replies: (1) an amendment, affidavit, or other evidence, which places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in compliance with 37 CFR 41.31; or (3) a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114. The reply must be filed within one of the following time periods: The period for reply expires \_\_\_\_\_months from the mailing date of the final rejection. a) b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth in the final rejection, whichever is later. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of the final rejection. Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f). Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.136(a) and the appropriate extension fee have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extension and the corresponding amount of the fee. The appropriate extension fee under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened statutory period for reply originally set in the final Office action; or (2) as set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of the final rejection, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). NOTICE OF APPEAL 2. The Notice of Appeal was filed on \_\_\_\_\_. A brief in compliance with 37 CFR 41.37 must be filed within two months of the date of filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any extension thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to avoid dismissal of the appeal. Since a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed within the time period set forth in 37 CFR 41.37(a). **AMENDMENTS** 3. The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, but prior to the date of filing a brief, will not be entered because (a) They raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search (see NOTE below); (b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below); (c) They are not deemed to place the application in better form for appeal by materially reducing or simplifying the issues for appeal; and/or (d) They present additional claims without canceling a corresponding number of finally rejected claims. NOTE: \_\_\_\_\_. (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)). 4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.121. See attached Notice of Non-Compliant Amendment (PTOL-324). 5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s): 6. 🔲 Newly proposed or amended claim(s) \_\_\_\_\_ would be allowable if submitted in a separate, timely filed amendment canceling the non-allowable claim(s). 7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) uill not be entered, or b) will be entered and an explanation of how the new or amended claims would be rejected is provided below or appended. The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed: none. Claim(s) objected to: none. Claim(s) rejected: 1-37. Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: none. AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE 8. 🗌 The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, but before or on the date of filing a Notice of Appeal will not be entered because applicant failed to provide a showing of good and sufficient reasons why the affidavit or other evidence is necessary and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e). 9. 🔲 The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing a Notice of Appeal, but prior to the date of filing a brief, will not be entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to overcome all rejections under appeal and/or appellant fails to provide a showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 41.33(d)(1). 10. The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation of the status of the claims after entry is below or attached. REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER 11. The request for reconsideration has been considered but does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: Please see the continuation sheet. 12. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s). (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s). 20061018 13. ☐ Other: .

SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER
TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2100

Application/Control Number: 10/017,654

Art Unit: 2151

### Response to Arguments

Claims 1-37 are pending in this application.

## Information Disclosure Statement

The information disclosure statement (IDS) submitted on October 18, 2006 is in compliance with the provisions of 37 CFR 1.97. Accordingly, the information disclosure statement is being considered by the examiner.

Applicant's arguments filed October 18, 2006 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive.

In response filed applicant argues in substance that:

a. The examiner improperly applies the first dictionary definition in rejecting claim 1 (remarks, page 2-3).

In response to argument [a], examiner respectfully disagrees for the following reasons:

As indicated clearly in the previous office action, the claims are given the broadest reasonable interpretation that is consistent with the specification (see office action mailed on 8/22/06, page 2).

The examiner provided different definitions for the term "synchronization" that was/is consistent with the specification. All six definitions can and will be used in rejecting the claims because applicant's invention is directed towards the multimedia communications, i.e. audio, video, data, etc., and all the definitions provided by the examiner are relevant to the applicants invention.

Applicant is advised to clearly define the invention in terms of the claims. For example: applicant stated, "In multimedia, precise real time processing, audio and video

Application/Control Number: 10/017,654

Art Unit: 2151

are transmitted over a network in synchronization so that they can be played back together without delayed responses" is the only definition consistent with the intentions of the applicant...

Applicant is advised to amend the claims to include this particular limitation or intention of synchronizing audio and video.

b. The still image of Crandall being displayed on different screens at the same time is not the same as the simultaneous playing back of audio and/or video in claim 1 (remarks, page 3).

In response to argument [b], examiner disagrees.

#### Claim 1 recites:

A method for synchronous media playback, comprising the steps of:

- (a) transmitting a media playback invite request received from a first terminal to a second terminal, wherein the first terminal is associated with a host user and the second terminal is associated with guest user;
- (b) relaying a media playback accept response from the second terminal to the first terminal; and
- (c) distributing a start playback request from the first terminal to the second terminal, wherein the start playback request directs the second terminal to begin a playback session of a media file in synchronization with the first terminal.

In response to applicant's argument that the references fail to show certain features of applicant's invention, it is noted that the features upon which applicant relies (i.e., simultaneous playing back of audio and/or video) are not recited in the rejected claim(s) (See the claim above). Although the claims are interpreted in light of the specification, limitations from the specification are not read into the claims. See *In re Van Geuns*, 988 F.2d 1181, 26 USPQ2d 1057 (Fed. Cir. 1993).

Art Unit: 2151

Therefore the combination of Crandall in view of Hamilton discloses each and every limitations of the claimed invention.

#### **Conclusion**

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to KAMAL B. DIVECHA whose telephone number is 571-272-5863. The examiner can normally be reached on Increased Flex Work Schedule.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Zarni Maung can be reached on 571-272-3939. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

Kamal Divecha Art Unit 2151 October 20, 2006.

SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER
TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2700